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I think I speak for every member of the Task Force when I say that we have been honored to serve the U. T. System in this capacity. Our guiding principle has been living up to Colonel Brackenridge’s trust in future generations to use this land for the benefit of U. T. Austin and its mission of educational excellence.
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The Task Force and its Charge
In July of 2006, Chairman James R. Hufnies, acting on behalf of the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System, created the current Brackenridge Tract Task Force and gave the Task Force the following charge:

**The charge to the Task Force is to review and identify facts and issues that impact land held in Travis County by the U. T. System Board of Regents for the benefit of The University of Texas at Austin and known as the Brackenridge Tract. The Task Force is asked to seek input and advice concerning the Board’s stewardship of the Brackenridge Tract, to make findings of fact related to the asset, to identify alternatives concerning long term uses of the Tract, and to make recommendations concerning the best and most prudent ways to utilize the asset to the maximum benefit of The University of Texas at Austin.**

---

1 Emphasis added.
THE MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE ARE:

Mr. Larry E. Temple, Chairman
Dr. Pat L. Clubb
Mr. Frank W. Denius
Mr. Jesus Garza
Ms. Dealey D. Herndon
Mr. Kevin P. Hegarty
Dr. Scott C. Kelley
Mr. Tom Meredith
Mr. C. Patrick Oles, Jr.
Ambassador Pamela P. Willeford

This report first sets out a brief history of the tract and summarizes its present uses, then details the work of the Task Force and summarizes information provided to the Task Force, and finally states the findings and recommendations of the Task Force.

1 Brief biographical information about each Task Force member is available in Appendix A.
In 1910, Colonel George W. Brackenridge donated 503 acres along the Colorado River “for the benefit of The University of Texas.” Since then, the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System has been responsible for the stewardship of the tract.
The History of the Tract
Colonel George W. Brackenridge served as a member of The University of Texas System Board of Regents for more than 25 years from November 1886 to January 1911 and August 1917 to January 1919 — longer than any other individual. He began his service, when the “System” was composed of The University of Texas main campus in Austin and The University of Texas Medical Branch in Galveston. At the time, the Austin campus had fewer than 300 students. Fortunately for the University, Brackenridge was a visionary who devoted his time, energy, and personal resources to creating the “University of the first class” that the Constitution of 1876 had contemplated.

Over the years, Brackenridge made generous contributions that underwrote the construction of two dormitories in Austin and one in Galveston, as well as the creation of many scholarships. During one particularly stormy political season when the Governor attempted to veto the University’s entire appropriation, Brackenridge and Major George W. Littlefield each pledged his fortune to cover the University’s budget. The veto was ruled unconstitutional so the pledges went unredeemed, but Colonel Brackenridge’s commitment exemplified his devotion to the University. As a member of the Board, he also assumed leadership for the first survey, organization, and management of the Permanent University Fund lands in West Texas that were provided as an endowment to the University by the Constitution of 1876 and the Texas Legislature. With the eventual discovery of oil in West Texas, these lands — which include some two million acres — became the strong financial underpinning of the University.

By the turn of the century, the University had grown to an enrollment of almost 2,000 and the Board of Regents recognized that the original “forty acres” in Austin would not be large enough to meet the University’s future needs. In response, Brackenridge developed a remarkable plan for a new campus west of the original site. As he conceived it, the new campus would cover some 1,000 acres, beginning at the Niles Road residence of his late friend, Governor Elisha Pease, and continuing from there down to land that Brackenridge owned along the Colorado River. When the Pease heirs decided that they could not part with the residence and the surrounding acreage, Brackenridge altered his plan, offering his own holdings and hoping to acquire additional land.
A Generous Gift in Support of University Education

After the Board voiced general support of the plan, Brackenridge deeded the land to the University in June of 1910. The Board formally accepted his gift in October of that year.

The deed conveying 503 acres “for the purpose of advancing and promoting University education” came with several conditions. First, the land was to be held “in trust for the benefit of the University of Texas.” Second, it was to be used “for educational purposes” for all generations of students to come. It is significant that the land was given by Brackenridge to benefit the educational mission of The University of Texas.

And, finally, Brackenridge included a provision prohibiting sale of any part of the land during the lifetimes of several young children of kinsmen and employees. If such a sale were made, he instructed that ownership of the land would pass to Jackson County, Texas, for the benefit of the public schools there.

The next year saw a substantial change in Board membership. Brackenridge left the Board in January of 1911 and Governor Oscar B. Colquitt appointed several new Regents. Those Regents, especially George W. Littlefield, had reservations about moving the main campus. Even Brackenridge had envisioned incremental movement of the campus. So, the Board found itself in a quandary about the best initial use of the tract. They considered uses as varied as a park, a fish hatchery, and a farm. Regent Littlefield was assigned the task of leasing the tract. In one report to the Board, Regent Littlefield stated that the land on the east side of the river had been rented for $500; the land on the west side of the river, for $10.

In 1920, Brackenridge and Littlefield died within a month of each other. With their passing, U. T. Austin President Robert E. Vinson took up the cause of expanding the Austin campus and urged the Board to petition the Governor and the Legislature to move the campus to the Brackenridge Tract. The Board agreed and made its request on January 5, 1921.

The request set off an unexpected firestorm in the Legislature. Debate of various bills and resolutions was so heated that, at one point, there was discussion of a statewide referendum to determine whether the University would remain in Austin. A compromise was reached: the University would remain at its original site and the Legislature appropriated $1,350,000 to acquire 135 acres east of the campus for expansion.

---

1 Appendix B is a copy of the original deed.
Rethinking the Dream

The Legislature’s actions left the Board with the responsibility for managing Brackenridge’s gift with the understanding that the specific use that Brackenridge had originally hoped for — a new location for the main campus — was unlikely to be accomplished. Yet, it was not until 1963 that the Board formally concluded that Brackenridge’s dream of moving the campus could not be achieved.

During that year, in anticipation of dramatic enrollment growth as the baby boom generation began to reach college age, the Board instructed Dr. L. D. Haskew, a vice chancellor of the System, to research the possible use of the Brackenridge Tract to meet the increasing demand for public higher education. Dr. Haskew’s study concluded that, because of the distance from the main campus to the tract, it was not feasible to use the tract for major academic buildings. According to former Board Chairman Frank Erwin’s subsequent history, it was further determined that the best use of the tract would be for “student housing, for athletic and recreational grounds, for research, support, and non-academic facilities that would not be used regularly for academic purposes by sizeable numbers of students and faculty, and for such other Main University activities as would develop in time.”

Reflecting on Dr. Haskew’s report, the advice of U. T. System Chancellor Harry Ransom, and the Board’s strongly held belief that it had an ethical and legal obligation to use the Brackenridge Tract to advance and promote education for the benefit of The University of Texas, the Board resolved in 1963 to negotiate elimination of the reversionary interest held by Jackson County and to establish clearly irrevocable ownership of the tract, thereby allowing the Board greater latitude to manage it.

The goals relating to clarification of management and ownership were accomplished by 1966. In 1964, the 126th District Court of Travis County affirmed that the Brackenridge Tract was held in trust exclusively for the benefit of the University. In that same ruling, the Court affirmed the Board’s authority to issue bonds for the construction of student housing on the Brackenridge Tract. After securing authorization from the Texas Legislature in 1965, the Board designated Regent W. H. Bauer to negotiate with Jackson County to purchase the county’s reversionary interest in the tract. That interest was conveyed to the Board in 1966 for the total consideration of $50,000, and the purchase agreement was subsequently affirmed by the District Court.

4 A copy of former Chairman Erwin’s history is available as Appendix C.
The Modern Commitment to Education and the University

Throughout the years, the Board has used the Brackenridge Tract for the benefit of the University by authorizing University uses on portions of the tract and by entering into leases or sales of other portions of the tract to generate needed funds to support the educational mission of the University. From 1989 to 2007, leases and sales of portions of the Brackenridge Tract have produced more than $25.6 million in revenue. In FY 2007, total rent received for the year from leases on the tract was $951,627.72. Proceeds from the sales and leases have been used by the University to provide financial support for academic excellence through the funding of endowments and research and for other University programs and projects. For example, in the early 1990s, $12.5 million was used to match $25 million in other gift funds to create endowments for 10 academic positions, 107 student scholarships and fellowships, and three program support endowments. The map below delineates current utilization of the Brackenridge Tract and existing leases. The current uses are summarized in the text following the map.

A chart displaying information about lease terms is available as Appendix D.

* A chart displaying information about lease terms is available as Appendix D.
University Uses

- In 1946, the Board authorized placement of former military barracks on a portion of the tract to serve as married student housing following the end of World War II. In 1965, the Board authorized the financing and construction of low-cost married student housing—which became the Colorado Apartments. In 1984, the Brackenridge Apartments were constructed just north of the field lab described below. The Colorado and Brackenridge Apartments occupy 74 acres.

These 515 apartment units on the Brackenridge Tract, along with the 200 additional units at the Gateway complex on West Sixth Street, house primarily graduate students with families or special needs.

- In 1963, the Board designated 80 acres of the tract to serve as a Biological Sciences Experimental Field Laboratory for 20 years. The original request made by the departments of botany, zoology, and microbiology in 1962 asked the Board to set aside the land for 10 years as part of a grant application to the National Science Foundation. Negotiations with the Foundation resulted in a request to dedicate the land as a field laboratory for 20 years instead of 10. The field lab is still in existence, now sits on approximately 82 acres, and is called the Brackenridge Field Laboratory. In accordance with the Brackenridge Development Agreement (to be discussed later), the land that the laboratory occupies may not be commercially developed while that Agreement is in effect.

The Brackenridge Field Laboratory is an organized research unit of the University, used principally by faculty and students in Integrative Biology. It is home to several facilities including greenhouses, fish tanks, and a laboratory building providing indoor research facilities, classrooms, and computer lab space. Several courses are currently taught at the Brackenridge Field Laboratory.

- The U. T. Austin Rowing Center is located near the Colorado Apartments and is the home to the U. T. Austin women’s rowing team, Texas Rowing. Texas Rowing is a varsity sport with a varsity squad and a novice squad.

Commercial Leases

- The Board has entered into several commercial leases, beginning in 1939 for a marina on what is now known as the Boat Town Tract. That tract was subsequently leased in 1992 to Oyster Boat Town Landing, Ltd. for the development of a mixed use restaurant and retail destination. The primary term of the lease expires in 2022. The tenant has one 10-year renewal term at its option.

- In 1977, the Board entered into a lease with Safeway, Inc. to allow the construction of a grocery store. The lease expires in 2016.

- In 1983, the Board began a series of leases on what is known as the Deep Eddy Tract. That first lease, with the Southland Corporation (now 7-Eleven, Inc.) will expire in 2013. In 1991, a lease was entered into with Heidi’s German Bakery, Pastry Shop, Etc., Inc.; it expires in 2011. In 1994, a lease to Gables Realty Limited Partnership (now Gables NW Texas LP) was entered into for the construction of an apartment and townhouse complex; that lease expires in 2044. Finally, in 1995, a lease was entered into with Eckerd’s (now CVS Pharmacy, Inc.). Its primary term ends in 2026 and the lessee may exercise two five-year renewal options.
Sales

• Approximately 90 acres of the original Brackenridge Tract were west of the Colorado River and therefore geographically separated from the remainder of the tract. In the 1990s, the Board concluded that the highest and best use of that portion, known as the Stratford Tract, was to sell it, with the sales proceeds serving as an endowment for the benefit of U. T. Austin. The Board sold 78 acres on the west side of the Colorado River for residential development in three separate transactions. The Board sold the remaining 12 acres on the west side of the river to the City of Austin as a conservation area.

• Total sales revenue from the Stratford Tract was $6,283,703.

Leases for Governmental and Civic Uses

• In 1953, approximately four acres were leased to the Lower Colorado River Authority. The leased acreage was expanded to 13 acres in 1978 for the development of the Lower Colorado River Authority’s headquarters. The primary term of the current lease, executed in 1990, expires in 2051; the tenant has one extension option of three to six years.

• In 1924, the Lions Club approached the Board with a proposal for a lease that would allow creation of a golf course. A 25-year lease was executed that same year with the Austin Municipal Golf and Amusement Association, an affiliate of the Lions Club. The City of Austin renegotiated the lease of 141.38 acres in 1937, for a 50-year term that would expire in 1987. The golf course lease was renewed in 1987 and, as part of the negotiations that led to the Brackenridge Development Agreement, the golf course lease was amended in 1989 to extend its term to 2019, with three five-year extensions that may be cancelled by either the Board or the City of Austin.

• A portion of the tract adjacent to the golf course was leased, beginning in 1980, to the West Austin Youth Association (WAYA), for the development of neighborhood youth sports activities. That lease also expires in 2019 and has three five-year extensions that may be cancelled by either the Board or WAYA. WAYA is a privately funded, non-profit organization that provides recreation opportunities for member families. The lease covers 14.56 acres.
The Brackenridge Development Agreement

By 1985, the Brackenridge Tract was becoming a significantly more valuable real estate asset. What had initially been land on the edge of town was becoming very desirable for potential development in a city beginning to see dramatic growth. The value of the land and the income it could generate were increasing at a remarkable pace.

In recognition of this change and in anticipation of the expiration of the golf course lease in 1987, the Board requested that U. T. System staff review the uses of the Brackenridge Tract and present recommendations that would facilitate the highest and best use of tract lands. This action reflected the Board’s commitment to maximize the value of the tract either through use of the tract for academic purposes or to generate revenues from the tract to be used to support the educational mission of the University.

The System Office of Real Estate was directed to formulate a plan for use of the tract. Negotiations with various stakeholders resulted in the Brackenridge Development Agreement between the Board and the City of Austin. The Agreement went into effect in 1989 for an initial term of 30 years and has three five-year extensions that are cancellable by either the Board or the City.

The Agreement established development rights for the non-university development of portions of the tract. The Agreement contains height restrictions, use restrictions, land use densities, pervious and impervious cover requirements, mechanisms for reviewing site plans and construction plans, and mechanisms for the provision of utility services to parcels within the Brackenridge Tract. It also addresses a variety of other matters pertaining to the non-university development of the portions of the Brackenridge Tract that are subject to the Agreement.

The Agreement specifically prohibits non-university development of the tract occupied by the Brackenridge Field Laboratory for so long as the Agreement is in effect. Under the provisions of the Brackenridge Development Agreement, the Colorado Apartments site is now eligible for non-university development of up to 410,858 square feet of improvements and the Brackenridge Apartments site is eligible in 2009 for non-university development of up to 1,044,395 square feet of improvements.

The Agreement does not govern development of the Brackenridge Tract for university purposes.

Further, development of the 141 acres leased to the City of Austin for a golf course, or the 15 acres leased to the West Austin Youth Association for youth sports activities is also not covered by the Agreement. Both leases are coextensive with the term of the Brackenridge Development Agreement.

Since the implementation of the Brackenridge Development Agreement, the Stratford Tract was sold, as described above, and several of the commercial leases, as summarized above, were executed.

* Due to its length, the Brackenridge Development Agreement is not included as an appendix to this report. It is filed in the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas, at Volume 10968, pages 0386 through 0562-B.
The Work of the Task Force
Task Force Process and Meetings

Since its establishment, the Task Force has studied the history and current uses of the Brackenridge Tract, held public meetings and work sessions, and heard from experts and interested parties. The Task Force held its first meeting on August 24, 2006. At this meeting, after receiving its charge from Board Chairman James R. Huffines, members reviewed background materials about the tract including the original deed, former Board Chairman Frank Erwin’s 1973 history of the tract, and the Brackenridge Development Agreement. James Wilson, Campus Director of Real Estate at U. T. Austin, who was Executive Director of Real Estate for U. T. System and led negotiations on the Brackenridge Development Agreement in the mid 1980s, made a presentation reviewing prior development and current utilization of the tract. The Task Force held a total of 13 meetings, concluding its work in September 2007.

Public Meetings

Five of the Task Force’s meetings were open to the public and included on-site meetings at the Brackenridge Field Laboratory and at the Brackenridge Apartments. In the public meetings, the Task Force invited all interested parties to provide comment. The Task Force received comments and presentations from a number of groups and individuals, including the following.7

- Dr. Mary Ann Rankin, Dean of the College of Natural Sciences, and Dr. Larry Gilbert, Director of the Brackenridge Field Laboratory, urged the Task Force to retain the field laboratory at its current location, noting that a recent external review conducted by three members of the National Academy of Sciences retained by the college supported that position. These experts and several faculty members stressed that the field laboratory is particularly vital to the section of Integrative Biology, which is nationally recognized as an outstanding program, in the School of Biological Sciences. Dean Rankin and Dr. Gilbert stated that the Brackenridge Field Laboratory is uniquely suited to research and education because:
  - It is relatively near the main campus, which allows students and faculty to easily work in both places;
  - It provides diverse habitat and species;
  - It has produced historical records and collections that would be disrupted by a change of locations; and
  - It provides facilities for research and teaching.

Other faculty members also spoke about the uses and importance of the field lab.

- Austin Mayor Pro Tem Betty Dunkerley and City Manager Toby Futrell told the Task Force that the City desires to make Lions Municipal Golf Course a permanent facility. They also indicated that the City would be interested in purchasing the golf course tract, swapping other property for it, or renewing the lease. Numerous supporters of the golf course also testified, mentioning its historic nature, its importance as green space or open space to the neighborhood, and its popularity with golfers. Speakers indicated that the Lions Municipal Golf Course is Austin’s most used public course and has been a training ground for professional golfers. Data provided by City staff evidenced that the golf course has experienced a reduction in rounds played over the last few years.

---

7 A list of individuals who spoke to the Task Force is included in Appendix E.
Members and supporters of the West Austin Youth Association (WAYA), including president Randy Howry, made presentations to the Task Force to illustrate the athletic and recreational uses of the tract by WAYA and how WAYA’s core purpose of maintaining a safe, positive, and nurturing environment for children complements the mission of the University. They also pointed out that many graduates of U. T. Austin and their families are members of WAYA and U. T. Austin students often work or intern at WAYA. It was suggested that opportunities for U. T. Austin students might be expanded — for example, for students majoring in kinesiology or, perhaps, for student teaching. WAYA offers scholarships and is a community service organization completely supported by contributions, serves about 4,000 young people, and offers about 30 sports.

Dan Garrison, founder of the Town Lake Trail Foundation and member of its Board of Directors, noted the importance of extending and completing the Austin Hike and Bike Trail along Lady Bird Lake through the Brackenridge Tract. Foundation representatives presented possible trail routes and discussed possible features and amenities. The speakers emphasized the beautification and health benefits of the trail and the sense of community it fosters, noting that the trail could be enhanced by a collaborative partnership among the Board, the City, and the Town Lake Trail Foundation.

Comments by students, representatives of the U. T. Austin Housing and Food Service Division, and other supporters of student housing made it clear that the graduate student housing on the Brackenridge Tract is popular and sought after for several reasons, especially its affordability and the sense of community it fosters. Speakers indicated that they value the quality of nearby public schools, the relative proximity to campus, and the safety of the current housing locations. Students also told the Task Force that the availability and quality of affordable housing were important factors in their decisions to attend the University.

Officers and members of the West Austin Neighborhood Group, together with other nearby residents, spoke to the Task Force about the importance of the tract to the neighborhood. Speakers advised the Task Force of the neighborhood’s interest in being involved in the decision-making process and stressed that future planned uses of the tract should be compatible with existing neighborhood uses and should take into account the impact of those uses on the neighborhood. Speakers also encouraged the continuation of the existing golf course or, in the alternative, using that portion of the tract as green space.
The Work of the Task Force

Working Sessions

The Task Force also held working sessions, including a meeting with U. T. Austin President William C. Powers, Jr. and meetings at which experts invited by the Task Force shared their knowledge and insights.

On January 29, 2007, the Task Force met with President Powers. He told the Task Force that the University recognizes the tremendous value of Colonel Brackenridge’s gift and welcomes the opportunity to critically assess the role it can play in helping U. T. Austin achieve its mission of teaching, research, and service. Because the University has no plans for program and facility expansion on the Brackenridge Tract, he noted, the revenue potential of the property affords the most valuable benefit as U. T. Austin struggles to maintain and improve its competitive position among the nation’s most highly regarded research universities.

Further, the fierce competition for top-ranked faculty and students is a challenge insurmountable with status quo budgetary constraints. President Powers advised that U. T. Austin ranks near last among its national peer institutions in available funds per student and, unless this situation improves, U. T. Austin will not be able to recruit and retain the nation’s best faculty and students. He concluded that the potential revenue stream from developing the Brackenridge Tract is an asset that could play an important role in helping U. T. Austin to be considered as, and remain among, the nation’s top five research universities.

In December of 2006, the Task Force met with Dr. David Perry, a former U. T. Austin faculty member and currently professor of Urban Planning and Policy at the University of Illinois at Chicago and the director of the Great Cities Institute at the same university, and Dr. Wim Wiewel, provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs at the University of Baltimore and former dean of the College of Business Administration and of the College of Urban Planning and Public Affairs at the University of Illinois at Chicago. Drs. Perry and Wiewel are co-editors of The University as Urban Developer: Case Studies and Analysis and The University, the City and the State: International Studies of Universities as Land Developers.

Perry and Wiewel led the Task Force through a series of processes and outcomes that other universities experienced as they developed and re-developed university-owned real estate. The speakers noted the change that was occurring in the relationship between universities and cities as the two become more integrated with each other. In the words of Carl Patton, urban planner and president of Georgia State, “the university should be a part of the community and not apart from it.”

---

* Perry and Wiewel, The University as Urban Developer, page 14 (Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, 2005)
Perry and Wiewel made three key summary points about universities within urban areas:

- If not “engines” of urban development, universities, at the very least, are sources of increasingly “mixed use” development—blurring the edge, the structure, and in some cases, the very meaning of “campus.”

- As universities embed themselves ever more fully in the land economy of the city, they become more visibly important, perhaps even foundational, urban institutions.

- Real estate practices are key to the fiscal and programmatic future of higher education—from scholarship to endowment.

Perry and Wiewel advised the Task Force that universities are sources of increasingly “mixed use” development, blurring the boundary line between the campus and the rest of the city. The importance of a university’s real estate practices was highlighted by Perry and Wiewel, who concluded that those real estate practices are key to the fiscal and programmatic future of higher education— from scholarship to endowment.

The Task Force also sought advice concerning the field laboratory from Dr. Peter H. Raven, longtime director and president of the Missouri Botanical Garden, George Engelmann Professor of Botany at Washington University - St. Louis, adjunct professor of biology at the University of Missouri - St Louis and St. Louis University and a former Home Secretary of the National Academy of Sciences.

Dr. Raven toured the Brackenridge Field Laboratory, met with Dean Rankin and faculty members from U. T. Austin’s College of Natural Sciences, and spoke at the Task Force meeting on May 25, 2007. In response to questions from and discussion with Task Force members, Dr. Raven made a number of relevant observations. Noting that some of the University’s peer institutions have such facilities, he stated that U. T. Austin needs a biological reserve for academic purposes, but questioned whether this field laboratory had been treated as a priority. He expressed the opinion that the current Brackenridge Field Laboratory would benefit from more emphasis within the department and improved facilities and more community involvement. He also suggested that increased public access could be useful. He concluded that reducing the size of the field laboratory to no fewer than 60 acres would not compromise the research or the mission of the field laboratory if necessary improvements were made.

Dr. Raven advised the Task Force that the current location of the field laboratory, while convenient because of its proximity to the main campus, is not essential to its mission and that another location with appropriate features and good proximity to the campus could provide similar benefits. He further counseled that longitudinal research records related to the current site, while useful, were not of sufficient duration to preclude relocation. And, he noted that several aspects of the field laboratory, such as its modest facilities, the construction of fish tanks, and the failure to preclude the entry of deer and non-indigenous plants, detracted from its usefulness.
The Colorado Apartments on the Brackenridge Tract
Findings
1. After reviewing the deed, the motivation that led to Colonel Brackenridge’s remarkable gift, and the history of the Brackenridge Tract, the Task Force concludes that the Board of Regents has a legal and ethical obligation – in point of fact, a fiduciary duty – to carry out Colonel Brackenridge’s fundamental philanthropic purpose and mandate when the gift was made: to use the tract for the benefit of the educational mission of the University.

This responsibility requires the Board to utilize the property in the best interests and for the maximum benefit of the University, in recognition of changed times and circumstances.

2. Past uses of the Brackenridge Tract have served the University well and benefited the community, but the Board must not allow itself to be bound indefinitely to uses that may have been appropriate at one time but may no longer fulfill the Board’s obligations to respect the spirit of the gift or to meet the requirements of its stewardship of the tract.

The pressing financial needs of the University as it strives to maintain and strengthen its role as a world-class educational institution, the increases in population and changes in land use in the City, and the tremendous increase in the value of the land compel a new vision for the tract that will provide greater financial benefits to the University in support of its educational mission.

3. The Brackenridge Development Agreement provided the Board with an efficient tool for non-university development of portions of the tract in the early 1990s. Since the Agreement was executed in 1989, however, both the University and the City have experienced profound changes and the University faces increasing financial challenges in meeting its educational mission.
4. The Brackenridge Tract remains a treasured asset and resource for the University. Because the University is perpetual in nature and thus all future needs for the use of its lands cannot be determined, any future discussion of the use of the remaining lands within the Brackenridge Tract should begin with the presumption that the property should not be sold without a compelling reason.

5. Other than the present uses for the Brackenridge Field Laboratory, student housing, and the U. T. Austin Rowing Center, the University has no current or planned, near-term University uses of the tract.

During discussions with the Task Force, President Powers indicated that no additional University uses for campus expansion have been identified for the present or in the University’s planning horizon.

6. A biological reserve is important to the University’s academic purposes, but it is not clear that the field laboratory should remain at its current location.

7. The current use of 74 acres of the Brackenridge Tract for student housing is not the highest and best use of the land.

8. The Board has sufficient time to plan well for the future of the Brackenridge Tract, but should begin that process as soon as reasonably possible.

Leases of portions of the tract are for varying durations, some expiring as early as 2011, and some as late as 2051. Under the Brackenridge Development Agreement, the land on which the Colorado Apartments are situated is available for development now and that on which the Brackenridge Apartments are built will be available in 2009.
The University of Texas at Austin Tower
Recommendations
1. To facilitate planning for future uses of the tract, the Board, through the U. T. System Real Estate Office, should engage in an open process to select a qualified outside planning firm to provide a comprehensive analysis of the tract. That analysis should engage the University and seek the input of members of the community, civic and governmental leaders, and other stakeholders and should result in a conceptual master planning document that identifies the possibilities and constraints of the tract and that serves as a guide for both near-term and long term use of the tract.

As noted above, the Task Force heard from Drs. David Perry and Wim Wiewel about the role of the university as an urban developer. One of the most lasting impressions that their presentation conveyed was the importance of engaging a master planner. Other universities, such as Harvard University, have found the advice of outside experts helpful in their land planning. The Massachusetts Institute of Technology has established its own in-house department of professional planners. Both of these universities are, like U. T. Austin, institutions in major urban areas that have responsibilities for the welfare of their campuses and quality of life issues for the surrounding communities.

Through the open selection process, the Board should seek to engage a highly regarded master planning team of experts who have consistently demonstrated excellence on major, comparable projects to develop a conceptual master planning document that presents planning visions for the tract that are world-class and establish the University and the City as leaders in the strategic use of university real estate assets.\(^9\)

2. The Brackenridge Development Agreement should be allowed to terminate in 2019 when its initial term expires.

While the Agreement permits three five-year renewal terms, the Task Force does not recommend that it be renewed beyond the initial 30-year term because of the very significant changes in conditions since the Agreement was first adopted. For so long as the Agreement is in effect, there can be no changes to the use of the golf course or the WAYA tract since those leases are coextensive with the term of the Agreement. Additionally, the Agreement allows the Brackenridge Field Laboratory to be used only for university purposes. These limitations, though appropriate at the time the Agreement was executed, restrict the Board in the stewardship of the tract. While it will be necessary for the master planning team to consider the impact of the Agreement on near-term development of the tract, the team should be allowed to propose future planning visions for the tract that are not restricted by the Agreement executed so many years earlier.

\(^9\) Note: The planning document should include the adjacent one-acre tract currently occupied by the Lake Austin Centre. That parcel was not originally part of the Brackenridge Tract, but is now owned by the Board for the benefit of the University.
3. The Board should include the Brackenridge Field Laboratory in the master planning process to assist the Board in determining whether to restructure the Laboratory at its current location or to relocate the Laboratory to another site.

After extensive interviews and discussions with Dean Rankin and other faculty representatives, site visits, and an analysis by a third party expert, the Task Force has been unable to determine whether the Laboratory (1) should be at its present location utilizing all 82 acres, (2) should be at the present location occupying less acreage, or (3) should be relocated and enhanced at another site.

The testimony to the Task Force by Dean Rankin and Dr. Gilbert urged retaining the Field Laboratory at its current site occupying the current acreage. While Dr. Raven confirmed that a field laboratory is an essential part of the academic program, he indicated that its retention at the current site is not necessarily critical. He also opined that the Field Laboratory could be valuable and effective with a focus or concentration using approximately 60 acres.

While the Task Force acknowledges that a field laboratory is an important element of the Integrative Biology program of the College of Natural Sciences, it appears that the laboratory has not been consistently treated as a critical asset. The Board, with input from the University, should decide how and where a field laboratory can be best enhanced for the benefit of the academic programs it serves.

4. The sections of the Brackenridge Tract now occupied by the Colorado and Brackenridge Apartments would be more beneficially utilized as part of a new master plan developed to produce significant funds to support the educational mission of the University.

Given the tremendous value of the land on which the student housing is located, the Task Force recommends that the Colorado and Brackenridge Apartment sites be included in the master planning process to generate funds to support University programs and projects. Changing the use of these sites requires the Board to address two fundamental questions. Is it strategically important to the University to offer graduate student and family housing assistance? If so, should the University provide such housing assistance through financial assistance or actual housing?

If providing actual housing serves the University’s mission, that housing can and should be relocated to an appropriate site. The Task Force recognizes that there will be costs associated with relocation of the housing and recommends that the master planning process include the relocation costs in its analysis.
5. The Lions Municipal Golf Course lease should be allowed to terminate at the end of its current term in 2019 and the Board should include the tract in the master planning process.

The Task Force’s recommendation is based on its conclusion that the lease of the land for a public golf course at a rental rate that is substantially below what the property could generate were it used for other purposes does not meet the intent of Colonel Brackenridge’s gift. Brackenridge was a great benefactor of several cities in Texas, and in fact, expressly conveyed some land for public park purposes, most notably the Brackenridge Park in San Antonio. He gave the Brackenridge Tract in Austin, however, specifically to support the educational mission of the University.

As mentioned earlier, the Board has leased a portion of the Brackenridge Tract to the City for a public golf course since 1937. Decisions by past Boards of Regents to permit use of the land as a golf course have provided an amenity to the community for more than 70 years.

The current lease involves approximately 141 acres. Since the golf course was first established, however, the City has established three additional 18-hole courses and a 9-hole course. In addition to courses maintained by the City, there are numerous other courses open to the public in Austin and the surrounding area.

6. The Board should include the tract presently leased by the West Austin Youth Association in the master planning process.

While the West Austin Youth Association provides an important service to the community, the 14.56 acres leased to WAYA is an essential part of the Brackenridge Tract and should, therefore, be included in the master planning process. A master planning document of the quality envisioned by the Task Force will likely include open space and community space, which might include community services, perhaps similar to those currently provided on this portion of the tract.

7. The master planning process should include evaluation of the trail extension proposed by the Town Lake Trail Foundation to determine whether it is beneficial to and enhances the value of the Brackenridge Tract.

The Town Lake Trail Foundation wishes to extend the Hike and Bike Trail along Lady Bird Lake through the Brackenridge Tract. While the extension may be beneficial to the overall development of the tract, the final decision as to the extension should be made as part of the overall planning process for the tract.
“There is certainly not a more honourable or important place.”

-George W. Brackenridge

Describing his service on The University of Texas Board of Regents
Colonel Brackenridge’s gift to the University was a most generous one in 1910 and is incredibly more valuable today. Although Colonel Brackenridge’s dream of relocating the main campus of the University to the tract was never realized, his gift has played an important role in supporting the educational mission of the University for almost 100 years.

Through the years, the Board of Regents has been a careful steward of this asset, which is so important to the University as well as to the Austin community. Some uses of the tract, however, no longer reflect the highest and best uses of the property consistent with the intent of the gift.

Moreover, the University today faces increased economic pressures as it strives to maintain and enhance its role in the world’s academic community. Thus, over the last several years, there has been focused attention regarding the utilization of the Brackenridge Tract and whether underutilization may compromise the University’s ability to deal with its economic challenges.

The Brackenridge Tract Task Force was created to examine these issues and their implications for the University and the community. In recognition of the Board’s legal and fiduciary obligation and the University’s economic challenges, the Task Force has concluded that the Board should begin a new chapter in the history of the Brackenridge Tract by engaging in a master planning process that will seek input from stakeholders in the University and the community to develop a master plan to guide the Board in fulfilling its legal and ethical obligation to act in the best interest of the University.

Policy choices such as those that surround the Brackenridge Tract are difficult. The development of a conceptual master planning document through a process that engages the community and the University, however, can lead to the development of the Tract in an exciting, creative, and fiscally responsible way. Such development will both respect Colonel Brackenridge’s intent and best serve the future of The University of Texas at Austin.
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THE STATE OF TEXAS, ::
COUNTY OF BEAVER, ::

I, George W. Brackenridge, for the purpose of advancing and promoting University education, hereby grant, donate and convey, in trust for the benefit of the University of Texas, as a part of the permanent fund for said University until the death of the last survivor of the following persons, namely: Katherine Ramsey, age five years, daughter of W. A. Ramsey, Elizabeth Harcourt, age nine years, daughter of G. W. Harcourt, Alexander Brekine, age seven years, son of Michael M. Brekine,—the above named fathers are employees of the San Antonio National Bank,—John Adams Brackenridge, age seven years, Roy James Brackenridge Roberts, age seven years, Isabella Eleanor Roberts, age one year,—the last named three being grandchildren of the late James M. Brackenridge of Austin, Texas,—or until the same is sold or conveyed by the State of Texas or the duly constituted authorities of the University of Texas before the death of the last survivor of the above named persons, remainder over, in case the same is not disposed of by the State of Texas or the duly constituted authorities of the University of Texas before the death of the last survivor of the above named persons, in fee simple title to the State of Texas for the benefit of the University of Texas as a part of said permanent fund with the request merely on my part that it be never disposed of but be held permanently for such educational purposes, but in case said State of Texas or the duly constituted authorities of the University of Texas sell or convey said property from the purposes aforesaid at any time before the death of the last survivor of the above named persons, then and upon that contingency, remainder over in fee simple title to the
County of Jackson, in the State of Texas, for the benefit of
the public free schools in and for that County, the follow-
ing described property situated in Travis County, Texas, to-wit:

Lying on the East bank of the Colorado River about two
and one-half miles above the City of Austin, said lands being
a portion of the one-third of a league of land granted to
Daniel J. Gilbert, containing 353 acres, more or less, and a
portion of the Geo. W. Speer league, containing 53 acres, more
or less,

Beginning at a point on the East bank of the Colorado
River, it being the Southwest corner of the Geo. W. Speer
league, and the Southeast corner of the Daniel J. Gilbert
one-third league, thence down the river with its meander-
ings South 47 East 334 varas, South 56 East 320 varas to a
corner on the bank of the river, thence North 30 East 475
varas, thence North 60 West 554 varas, thence North 30 East
184 varas; thence North 60 West 92 varas to the division
line between said Geo. W. Speer league and said Daniel J.
Gilbert one-third league, thence with said division line
North 30 East 1054 varas, thence North 60 West 1498 varas
along the lands of L. C. Pease, Wm. Walsh and others to the
bank of the Colorado River, thence down the bank of the
Colorado River with its meanderings to the place of begin-
ing,

Also Ninety-five acres of land, part of the Henry F.
Hill league, lying on the West bank of the Colorado River,
in Travis County, the property of George W. Brackenridge,

Beginning at a stone mound on the bank of the Colorado
River, at the Northwest corner of the Henry F. Hill league,
thence with the West boundary line of said Henry F. Hill
league South 30 West 1645 varas, thence South 60 East 224
varas, thence North 30 East 234 varas, thence South 60 East
262 varas, thence North 50 East 677 varas to the bank of
the Colorado River, thence up the bank of the Colorado
River, with the meanderings thereof, to the point of begin-
ning, containing ninety-five acres of land, more or less,
there being excepted from the property above described, and
same is not hereby conveyed, about one acre of land assessed
and held by C. H. Jung and about one acre assessed and held
by Mrs. Johanna Hallman, and also one lot in Lake Addition
assessed and held by A. Raggio, which said three parcels,
included in the boundaries of the land above described, I
is not own.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD same unto the said respective
grantees of the several estates according to the limitations
above expressed.

WITNESS MY SIGNATURE, this 17th day of June, A.D., 1910.

[Signature]
THE STATE OF TEXAS,

COUNTY OF BEXAR.

Before me, the undersigned authority,
on this day personally appeared George W. Brackenridge, known
to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the fore-
going instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the
same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this 1st
day of June, A.D., 1910.

Mary Jane Rousley
Notary Public, in and for the County of
Bexar, State of Texas.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
I, Paul M. Deats, Clerk of the County Court within and
COUNTY OF TRAVIS.

for the County and State aforesaid, do hereby certify
that the within and foregoing instrument of writing, with its certificate of authentication, was filed
for record in my office on the —— day of June, A.D. 1917, at —— o’clock
P.M., and duly recorded on the —— day of June, A.D. 1917, at ——
o’clock M., in the Records of said County, in Book
No. ——, pages —— to ——, inclusive.

WITNESS MY HAND and seal of the County Court of said County,
the date last above written.

Paul M. Deats
Clerk County Court, Travis County, Texas.

By

P. H. Murray, Deputy
U. T. AUSTIN - BRACKENRIDGE TRACT - REGENT ERWIN'S REVIEW
OF THE HISTORY OF THE TRACT. --At the April 24, 1973, meeting of
the Board of Regents, Regent Frank C. Erwin, Jr., presented an oral
review of the history of the Brackenridge Tract. At the request of the
Board of Regents, Regent Erwin thereafter prepared this written review
for inclusion as a part of the record in the minutes of a subsequent
meeting of the Board:

July 10, 1973

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS:

On January 26, 1839, less than 3 years after the Battle of San Jacinto,
the Third Congress of the Republic of Texas set aside 50 leagues of land
with which to endow two state universities.

The earliest known map of the City of Austin, drawn in that same year
(1839) indicates a "College Grove" at the place where the U. T. campus
was later established, and a map of Austin drawn in 1840 pursuant to an
act of the Congress of the Republic indicates the school located on the site
of "College Grove," but it was then labeled "College Hill." On the 1840
map, "College Hill" consists of a 12 square block area lying between what
are now 21st Street on the south, 24th Street on the north, Guadalupe Street
on the west, and Speedway Street on the east.

Unfortunately, the poverty of the Republic and the early difficulties of
the newborn State of Texas for years delayed the opening of the college.

Elisha M. Pease, who became Governor in 1853, urged the building of
the University, and after several efforts by the Legislature to that end, a
bill was signed on February 11, 1858, establishing "The University of
Texas" and creating an endowment of bonds and land for its maintenance
and support.
Unfortunately, the chaotic conditions ensuing from the War Between The States intervened, and no further action to establish the University was taken until the adoption of the 1876 Constitution.

That Constitution, which was adopted by popular vote on Febru-ary 15, 1876, expressly provided for the creation of "The University of Texas," which was to be a "university of the first class" and was to be located by a vote of the people. That Constitution also set aside a million acres of the public domain for the support of the University, and in 1883 the Legislature added an additional million acres of public land to the endowment. That 2,100,000 acres of University Lands, situated in 19 West Texas counties, is the foundation of the Permanent University Fund today.

In 1881, at the request of Governor Oran M. Roberts, the 17th Legislature passed a bill, signed by the Governor on March 30, 1881, establish-ing "The University of Texas" and appropriating $59,000 for the construction of the Initial university building. (That appropriation of $59,000 appears to have been a clear violation of Section 14 of Article VII of the 1876 Constitution which provides that "no tax shall be levied and no money appropriated out of the general revenue .... for the establishment and erection of the buildings of The University of Texas.")

Numerous towns and places in the State competed for the location of the University. However, after the state-wide election was held on September 6, 1881, Governor Roberts filed a proclamation with the Secretary of State declaring that "Austin has been selected as the site for the Main University." (The best report of the campaign to locate the Main University in Austin appears to be the one related by A. P. Wooldridge in the November 15, 1913, issue of The Alcalde. Probably more than any other person, Mr. Wooldridge is responsible for the University's being in Austin.)
However, once Austin was selected by popular vote, no one seems to have doubted that the campus should be situated on the forty-acre site that was designated on the 1839 and 1840 maps of the city, first as "College Grove" and then as "College Hill." In any event, the laying of the cornerstone of the West Wing of the Old Main Building was accomplished on that site on November 17, 1882, before 3,000 witnesses, and the construction was completed in 1883 at a cost of $62,000. With the assistance of Governor John Ireland, the first classes were opened in September, 1883, in the rooms of the Senate and the House of Representatives and "in such apartments as could be spared" in the temporary Capitol Building. In January, 1884, all classes of the University were moved from the temporary Capitol Building to the completed West Wing of "Old Main." The University opened in 1883-84 with an enrollment of 218.

Colonel George W. Brackenridge of San Antonio served as a member of the Board of Regents for a total of almost 26 years (from November, 1886, to January, 1911; from August, 1917, to January, 1919; and from November, 1920, until his death in December, 1920).

In 1890, when the University was barely 7 years old and when the enrollment had risen to only 278 students, Colonel Brackenridge saw the need for a dormitory for the "poor boys" and offered the Regents a substantial sum of money toward providing such a facility. Colonel Brackenridge's stated purpose was that "the young men of Texas might have substantial aid in obtaining an education to fit them morally and mentally for any honorable calling or station in life." The total cost of the original portion of the dormitory (first called "University Hall," then "Brackenridge Hall," and finally just "B Hall") was $17,000, of which Colonel Brackenridge contributed $10,000, plus $800 to equip and furnish the "mess hall." In 1900,
two wings were added to B Hall, and Colonel Brackenridge contributed to their cost.

By the fall of 1909, Colonel Brackenridge had conceived the idea of moving the main campus of the University from the "forty acre" site to a 1,000 acre site situated in what is now West Austin. At that time Colonel Brackenridge owned a 500 acre tract in that area, of which about 90 acres lay on the west bank of the Colorado River near the Lake Austin dam, and about 410 acres lay on the east bank of the river in the general area where the Austin Municipal Golf Course is now situated. At the same time, the heirs of Governor Pease owned more than 1,000 acres lying between the Pease Mansion (situated on what is now Pease Road) on the east and Colonel Brackenridge's tract on the west.

It was Colonel Brackenridge's dream that he and the Pease heirs would each give 500 acres to the University, thereby creating a 1,000 acre campus in West Austin, stretching from the Pease Mansion to the Colorado River, and that the proposed new 1,000 acre campus would be connected to the "forty acres" by a 400 foot wide boulevard that would run down the present route of 24th Street from the intersection of Pease and Windsor Roads to Guadalupe Street. (A map of this grandiose plan can be found in the map section of Walter Long's history of the Brackenridge Tract entitled "For All Time to Come," privately printed by Steck in 1964.)

Colonel Brackenridge approached the Pease heirs with his proposal in October, 1909, and even offered to purchase the Pease house and land and donate them to the University in the name of his friend, Governor Pease. But, according to one of the Pease heirs, after consideration the heirs concluded that they could not afford to contribute the land and their pride would not permit them to allow Colonel Brackenridge to purchase the house and land and donate them in their ancestor's name, so a substantial part of Colonel Brackenridge's plan fell through.
However, he decided to proceed alone, and in December, 1909, Colonel Brackenridge wrote U. T. President Mezes, in pertinent part, as follows:

"In this connection, I tender to the University the land fronting on the Colorado River and embracing the Austin dam, amounting to somewhere in the neighborhood of (500) acres, provided it could be occupied for University purposes advantageously. If you think well of this, won't you kindly have the boys (i.e., apparently a reference to the surveying students in the engineering school) make a survey of it so that I may draw the deeds accurately by boundaries, and also that the Board may see something of the topography of the tract to enable them to decide whether they desire it or not. I am perfectly willing and ready to do this if it meets any of the wants of the University, but would be unwilling to give it to them to be sold or exchanged for other property."

Complying with Colonel Brackenridge's request, the then Chairman of the Board of Regents appointed a committee to examine the land in question and to report back to the Board on its suitability for university purposes.

The deed from Colonel Brackenridge dated June 17, 1910, was formally accepted by the Board of Regents on October 22, 1910. However, entries in the minutes of the meetings of the Board of Regents during 1910 and 1911 indicate that the problem of determining the best use of the land continued to be studied. Among the suggested uses were a park and botanical garden; a state fish hatchery; a scientific research activity in Economic Botany, Economic Zoology, and Economic Entomology, dealing with commercially valuable plants and animals and with the insects which prey on them; a combined dairy, truck farm, and school which would provide work and economic support for pre-college students who wished to prepare themselves to enter the University; a commercially and professionally managed farm operation; and, of course, a new site for the main campus of the University.

Finally, on June 11, 1911, the Regents sent the following telegram to Colonel Brackenridge, who had retired from the Board in January of that
year and was then in San Antonio:

"Regents have inspected property you recently gave University, and suggestion is entertained looking to its future use for site of main establishment. We will be here until Tuesday night, and would be glad to have your counsel on this and other matters if you can come without serious discomfort."

On the following day (June 12, 1911), Colonel Brackenridge responded as follows:

"Thanks for your very kind and highly appreciated invitation to join you in the consideration of any subject pertaining to the future prosperity and welfare of The University of Texas. The land there will eventually be of great value, and I will as a citizen of the State of Texas take pleasure in following as far as possible any suggestion or undertaking of your Board. (I regret) that my health and the extreme heat and dust prevent my acceptance."

It appears that no real conclusion as to best use of the land was arrived at by the Board of Regents at that time, and the Board turned the management of the land over to Regent George W. Littlefield (of Austin) for lease.

Major Littlefield reported to the Board that the land was being fenced, that the land on the east side of the river had been rented for $500, and that the land on the west side of the river had been rented for $10.

Leaving the history of the Brackenridge Tract for a moment, it is interesting to note that Colonel Brackenridge’s dedication to the University was not limited to making grants of land or gifts of buildings that bear his name. On the contrary, by deed of gift dated March 15, 1915, Colonel Brackenridge donated his schooner, known as the "Navidad," to the Board of Regents for the purpose of "promoting the collecting and investigating of marine life along the coast of the State of Texas." Unfortunately, Fate did not smile on that undertaking, because the minutes of the Regents’ meeting of October 26, 1915, contain the following:

"By the violence of the storm of August 18, (1915) the "Navidad"... was driven on land. Fortunately, the damage was slight, and arrangements have been made... to float the boat again. It was hoped that it would prove practical this fall to establish the work in marine biology for which the boat was given to the University, but, owing to the lack of provision for it in the legislative appropriation and the demands on the Available Fund by reason of the damage to the Medical (Branch
at Galveston) resulting from the storm, it seems advisable to postpone for a time the inauguration of the work. Under these circumstances, Mr. Brackenridge has expressed a willingness to take back the boat and keep it for his own use until such time as the University is in position to prosecute the undertaking ...." (There is no indication that the 'Navidad' was ever transferred to the University a second time.)

Two years later, when, on June 2, 1917, Governor Jim Ferguson vetoed the Legislature's itemized appropriations for the University's next biennium, leaving untouched only the salary of the Dean of the College of Arts and the totals at the bottom of the appropriation, both Colonel Brackenridge and Major Littlefield immediately offered to underwrite the entire expense of operating the University (then some $700,000 per year) out of their personal resources - either separately or together. Fortunately, on June 9, 1917, Attorney General B. F. Looney rendered the opinion that the total amount of the appropriation had not been nullified by the veto of the several items so that it did not become necessary for those two great benefactors of the University to replace the State's money with their own, but there can be no doubt of their willingness to have done so had it been necessary.

That Colonel Brackenridge well knew how to donate and dedicate land for "park purposes" when he chose to do so and that he was always conscious of his great affection for and dedication to the University is clearly demonstrated in the deed by which he gave Brackenridge Park to the City of San Antonio. In an instrument dated November 20, 1899, after conveying the land to the City of San Antonio, Colonel Brackenridge provided that if the City (1) ever permitted the donated premises, or any part thereof, to be used for any other purpose or purposes than a public park, or (2) ever permitted any beer or intoxicating liquor of any kind to be sold, given away or drunk within or upon any part of the premises, or (3) ever permitted the premises, or any part thereof, to be used or occupied for any illegal purpose whatsoever, or (4) ever conveyed, alienated or encumbered same or any part of the premises, then "the title to said
premises shall at once pass from said City and vest in the State of Texas for the benefit of The University of Texas."

Let us now return to the history of the Brackenridge Tract. From the language of Colonel Brackenridge's deed to the University, from his communications to the Board of Regents described above, and, particularly, from his negotiations with the Pease heirs, it is perfectly clear that it was Colonel Brackenridge's fond hope and desire that the main campus of the University would be moved to the land he donated. However, the only serious effort to accomplish that goal resulted in total failure and placed his dream effectively out of reach forever.

Colonel Brackenridge died on December 28, 1920, a month after he began his last term as a Regent. Ironically, the fight to move the main campus to the Brackenridge Tract was begun, carried on, and lost during the 3 months immediately following his death.

Dr. Robert E. Vinson became president of the University in 1916 and led the University with great vigor in support of the war effort during 1917 and 1918. However, after the Armistice, President Vinson turned his thoughts to the future of the University. In that regard, he concluded that the "forty acre" site was far too small to accommodate the future university complex that he envisioned, that it would be prohibitively expensive to acquire sufficient additional land adjacent to the "forty acres," that the main campus must be moved to the Brackenridge Tract, and that the land given by Colonel Brackenridge must be at least doubled in size. Since this was almost exactly the plan that Colonel Brackenridge had conceived in 1910 and since Colonel Brackenridge served on the Board of Regents during two of President Vinson's first three years in office, it seems very likely that Colonel Brackenridge played a major role in the development of the Vinson Plan.

In any event, during early 1920 President Vinson persuaded the Austin Chamber of Commerce to secure purchase options in the name of an
undisclosed principal on hundreds of acres adjacent to the Brackenridge Tract. Perhaps unknown to Colonel Brackenridge, President Vinson anticipated that Colonel Brackenridge would leave the bulk of his estate to the University, and Dr. Vinson intended that that bequest would be used to purchase the additional land and to begin the construction of buildings on the new site. Unfortunately for that grand design, at his death in December, 1920, Colonel Brackenridge's estate was not as large as Dr. Vinson had anticipated, the bulk of the estate was not left to the University, and the $400,000 bequest that was made to the University was left for purposes other than the construction of buildings.

Nevertheless, on January 5, 1921, inspired by Dr. Vinson's strong convictions, the Board of Regents unanimously adopted a lengthy Memorial addressed to the Governor and the 37th Legislature advocating the removal of the University to the Brackenridge Tract and calling for the appropriation of 8 million of dollars to construct new buildings there.

Thereafter, for three months, a bitter battle raged both in and out of the Legislature over the question. Many bills and resolutions were introduced on both sides, and at one time it was proposed that a new state-wide referendum be held to determine whether the University should remain in Austin. Not only legislators, but citizens of Austin and ex-students all over the state, hastened to join the battle and exchange charges with great vehemence. All in all, it was one of the most violent and bitter public controversies in which the University has been involved, and it is recounted in great detail in Walter Long's "For All Time to Come."

Finally, in the very last hours of the legislative session, a compromise bill was passed which rejected the Regents' recommendation that the Main Campus be moved to the Brackenridge Tract, but appropriated $1,350,000 for the acquisition of 135 acres which lay immediately east of the "forty
acres" and were situated generally between Red River and Speedway on the east and west and between 19th and 24th on the north and south. However, the bill required that the citizens of Austin post a bond to guarantee that they would pay all cost of acquiring the land in excess of the appropriation. Before the Governor would sign the bill, he also required that the Austin banks agree that if more than one-half of the appropriation should be expended during the first fiscal year of the biennium, the excess over one-half would be advanced by the banks until the second year without interest. Both of these conditions were met by the people of Austin, and the campus expansion land was acquired during the next several years - though not without considerable difficulty.

In that fateful year of 1921, the Legislature adjourned on March 12th, and Governor Pat Neff signed the bill on April 1st, at which time he commented that "The purchase of this land will fix forever the habitat of this seat of learning." Thus died forever all hope of implementing Colonel Brackenridge's dream of moving the main campus of the University to the tract of land on the banks of the Colorado.

Colonel Brackenridge's deed of June 17, 1910, is not a copybook example of clarity in legal writing, but from the language of the deed several things are clear. First, it is clear that he intended to convey for the use and benefit of The University of Texas a tract of land containing approximately 408 acres on the east side of the Colorado River and a tract of approximately 95 acres on the west side of the river. Second, it is clear that the conveyance was made "for the purpose of advancing and promoting University education," with the "request merely on my part that it be never disposed of but be held permanently for such educational purpose." Finally, it is clear that under the terms of the deed if the land
was not sold or conveyed by the State of Texas or the duly constituted authorities (i.e., the Board of Regents) of The University of Texas prior to the death of the last survivor of six named children (then aged 9, 7, 7, 7, 5 and 1 years, respectively), fee simple title would vest in the State of Texas for the use and benefit of the University upon the death of the last survivor. On the other hand, if the land was sold or conveyed before the death of the last survivor of the six named children, the fee simple title would vest at the time of such attempted sale or conveyance (or upon the death of the last survivor) to Jackson County, Texas, for the use and benefit of the public free schools in that county.

The minutes of the meetings of the Board of Regents from 1910 forward indicate that each successive Board was keenly aware of the danger of jeopardizing the University's interest in the land. The Board's knowledge that the land could not be sold, that there might be some risk in selling any timber, improvements, or other things off the land, and that some day the tract might be the site of the Main University campus undoubtedly all joined in keeping the Board of Regents from committing the land to any meaningful use from 1910 to 1921. Of course, the University's lack of funds and the fact that the tract was still well outside Austin's commercial and residential development did not increase the opportunities for any such meaningful use.

After the possibility of moving the main campus to the Tract was eliminated by the Legislature in the spring of 1921, the Board of Regents attempted to enter into a contract with the Texas Game, Fish and Oyster Commission for the land to be used as a game sanctuary, but on January 14, 1924, the Texas Attorney General ruled that two state agencies could not contract with each other, and, in addition, the Attorney General
warned that the Board of Regents should not sell or attempt to sell any part of the Brackenridge Tract or anything on it without obtaining the prior written advice of the Attorney General. It was against that background of long frustration over the use of the Brackenridge Tract that the Board of Regents was approached by the Austin Lions Club in the spring of 1924 about the possible use of part of the land as a municipal golf course.

The minutes of the April 15, 1924, meeting of the Board of Regents reflect that "After discussion, the Board voted in favor of the (municipal golf course) lease, subject to the approval of the Attorney General, for a consideration of sixty dollars per year (which is an increase over the present income)."

Thereafter, on May 31, 1924, a 25-year lease expiring June 15, 1949, was entered into between the Board of Regents and the Austin Municipal Golf and Amusement Association (a non-profit corporation formed by the Austin Lions Club for the purpose) covering roughly the triangular tract of land presently bounded on the east by Exposition Boulevard, on the north by Enfield Road, and on the south and west by Lake Austin Boulevard (formerly Dam Boulevard). The Golf Association agreed to use the premises only for "the purpose of establishing, conducting, and operating golf links and grounds suitable and appropriate for the game of golf, and such other outdoor games, amusements and recreations as may be wholesome and proper, and as may not be objectionable to (the Board of Regents)." The Golf Association also agreed, among other things, to pay to the Treasurer of the State of Texas for the account of the Board of Regents the cash sum of sixty dollars on the 16th day of June of each year. Finally, the lease provided that the Board of Regents could terminate the lease upon one year's written notice if "in its judgment the interest of The University of Texas should require that said premises be possessed by
and devoted to some direct University activity or occupancy." The lease was approved by Attorney General W. A. Keeling.

Thirteen years later, by an agreement entered into between the Board of Regents and the City of Austin, dated March 30, 1927, it was agreed (1) that the Regents approve the assignment of the May 31, 1924, lease from the Austin Municipal Golf and Amusement Association to the City of Austin, (2) that the term of the lease be extended to March 30, 1987 (i.e., fifty years from the date of the agreement between the Regents and the City), (3) that the City would assume the indebtedness of the Golf Association and, with W.P.A. assistance, would spend about $30,000 to improve the golf course, (4) that the Board of Regents would not cancel the lease prior to March 30, 1957, without reimbursing the City for the cost of part of the improvements, and (5) that the Board of Regents would not cancel the lease for the purpose of operating a golf course or other athletic activities on the premises."

By instrument dated February 9, 1961, at the request of the Board of Regents, the City of Austin released from the aforesaid lease of May 31, 1924, as modified by the aforesaid agreement of March 30, 1937, a tract of 11.637 acres situated at the southwest corner of the intersection of Enfield Road and Exposition Boulevard. The tract was released for the purpose of providing a site for a residence of the President of U. T. Austin, but the project was later abandoned. The released tract was never occupied by the golf course, and has not been officially in the possession and control of the City since 1961.

A search of the records at the University and at the State Treasurer's office indicates that the $60,000 annual rental due from the City on the golf course tract has never been paid. Also, U. T. Austin's physical plant staff reports that many oak trees on the golf course tract are dying and that alling trees are receiving little or no attention.
On August 7, 1972, the Board of Regents, acting by and through its chairman, gave written notice to the City that the "golf course" lease would be terminated one year from that date. In connection with the termination, the Regents stated: "Notwithstanding the notice of intent to terminate the lease and without waiving any right to terminate the lease and repossess the lease property one year from this date, representatives of the Board of Regents will be happy to meet with representatives of the City of Austin to work out a plan for the utilization by the City of the premises, in whole or in part, for a reasonable period of time after the formal termination of the lease, thereby permitting an orderly transfer of the golf activities from the lease property to a new site." At least during the first eleven months following the giving of the notice of termination, the Regents received no response from the City, and the City is still in possession of the property.

There are several other leases, easements, encroachments, and uses affecting the Brackenridge Tract that should be mentioned.

Since 1939 W. W. Bennett and his successor lessees (i.e., Twin Star Industries, J. H. Frost, Jr., and James A. Maines) have built and operated a boat dock facility on approximately one acre of land lying between Lake Austin Boulevard and Lake Austin at the foot of Enfield Road. The lease is presently held by James A. Maines dba Boat Town of Austin. The present lease is on a one-year basis, with a monthly rental of $225, and with each party entitled to cancel the lease on 90 days notice. The Texas Union has made at least two attempts (in Spring, 1954, and Summer, 1969) to gain control of the boat docks and adapt the premises for student use as a Union Annex. However, at the time of the first attempt in 1954, the lease term had 5 more years to run, and in 1969 the Regents postponed a decision until yet another use study of the entire Brackenridge Tract could be made.
By instrument dated May 24, 1941, the Board of Regents granted to the City of Austin, without cost to the City, a 20-year right-of-way easement in and to a 1-1/3 acre triangular-shaped tract of land bounded on the northeast by Lake Austin Boulevard, on the south by the continuation of the south line of a tract of land acquired by the City from Colonel Brackenridge, and on the northwest by the east line of the same tract of City land. The apex of the triangle is at the north, and Red Bud Trail runs through the south part of the easement parallel to the easement's south boundary. The stated purpose of the easement was to permit public access from Lake Austin Boulevard to the City-owned tract of land lying between the easement and the Colorado River and to a tract of land lying between the easement and the river that was being used by the Lower Colorado River Authority. It was agreed in the easement document that no structures would be placed on the easement land and that possession of the premises would be delivered to the Regents upon the expiration of the term of the easement. It appears that the easement expired by its own terms on May 24, 1961, but casual visual inspection suggests that the University may not have regained possession of the land.

In 1946, as a result of the large number of married students who enrolled in the University following the conclusion of World War II, the University installed many dwelling units for married students on the Main Campus and in the Brackenridge Tract area between Lake Austin Boulevard and the Colorado River west of Deep Eddy and southeast of Red Bud Trail. Other such dwelling units were located in the Brackenridge Tract area on the north side of Lake Austin Boulevard west of Hearn Street and east of Exposition Boulevard. These structures were formerly wooden bachelor officers quarters and were provided to the University
under Veterans' Housing Project Tex-V-41761. Today the dwelling units in the Brackenridge Tract area are fully occupied, and they are still in great demand because of their low rental cost.

On February 27, 1948, the Board of Regents agreed to furnish at no cost to the City a right-of-way across Brackenridge Tract land for the construction of a low-water bridge about 900 feet downstream from the Tom Miller Dam, together with the approaches to the bridge, which bridge and its approaches now form a part of Red Bud Trail.

By instrument dated March 25, 1950, the Board of Regents entered into two agreements with the City of Austin, both without cost to the City. First, the Regents created a public easement 50 feet wide extending from Lake Austin Boulevard to Lake Austin immediately south of the Boat Town of Austin lease. In pertinent part, the City agreed to construct on Lake Austin at the west end of the easement a municipal pier or dock that would be "maintained by the City and would be made available for use by all licensed boat operators on Lake Austin without charge." The City further agreed to maintain and care for the easement property and to assume all responsibility and liability for its use. Finally, the City agreed that it would not permit any buildings to be built on the property and would permit no vehicular parking thereon. On August 7, 1972, the Board of Regents, acting by and through its chairman, gave the City written notice that the easement would terminate 90 days from that date. In connection with the termination, the Regents stated that "inasmuch as it appears that the easement was granted without consideration, that the purpose for which the easement was granted has been substantially abandoned, and that one or more of the conditions upon which the easement was granted have not been fulfilled, (the easement will terminate)." Thus far, the Regents have received no response from the City, and the City is still in apparent possession of the property.
The second agreement in the instrument was that the Board of Regents leased to the City of Austin "for park and recreational purposes" a tract of land bounded on the north by the 50-foot easement described above, on the west by Lake Austin, on the south by the property occupied by the Lower Colorado River Authority, and on the east by Lake Austin Boulevard. In pertinent part, the City agreed to maintain and care for the lease property and to assume all responsibility and liability for its use. The City further agreed that no building would be erected and no vehicular traffic or parking would be allowed on the lease property.

Finally, the City agreed that the lease could be terminated by either party upon 90 days written notice. On August 7, 1972, the Board of Regents, acting by and through its chairman, gave the City written notice that the lease would be cancelled 90 days from that date. However, in connection with the cancellation, the Regents stated that "Notwithstanding the notice of intent to terminate the lease and without waiving any right to terminate the lease and repossess the lease property ninety days from this date, representatives of the Board of Regents will be happy to meet with representatives of the City to work out a plan for the utilization by the City of the premises, in whole or in part, for a reasonable period of time after the formal termination of the lease, thereby permitting an orderly transfer of the City's activities from the lease property to a new site."

Thus far, the Regents have received no response from the City, and the City is still in apparent possession of the property.

On December 7, 1951, the Board of Regents agreed to furnish, at no cost to the City, a 66 foot right-of-way for the construction of Exposition Boulevard from Enfield Road to Lake Austin Boulevard.
On October 4, 1952, the Board of Regents reaffirmed its prior policy of not selling any portion of the Brackenridge Tract, but the U.T. Austin Business Manager was instructed to undertake a study of "the long-range development and utilization of the Tract for commercial, residential, or other use," giving "first consideration to the possibility of commercial development, such as a community center, at or near the intersection of Lake Austin Boulevard and the proposed extension of Exposition Boulevard."

On May 29, 1953, the Board of Regents agreed to furnish to the Lower Colorado River Authority, at no cost to the L.C.R.A., the use of a 4.4 acre tract of land on the south side of Enfield Road about one block west of Lake Austin Boulevard, for the purpose of storing poles, wire, cables and other electrical equipment. The L.C.R.A. agreed to clear the site of brush and weeds, leaving all large trees, to build a cyclone fence around the area used for storage, to keep the premises in neat condition, to assume all liability in connection with its use of the tract, and to surrender the land upon the University's request. The area occupied by the 4.4 acre tract was never a part of the golf course but is included in the City's lease. After refusing in 1941 to permit the Austin Junior Chamber of Commerce to construct a baseball park at the southeast corner of the intersection of Enfield Road and Lake Austin Boulevard under a 45-year lease, on March 16, 1959, the University agreed, with the joinder of the L.C.R.A., to permit the West Austin Optimist Club to build a Little League baseball park on a portion of the 4.4 acre tract, with the agreement of the Optimist Club that it would cut no trees, it would assume full liability for its activities on the premises, and it would surrender the premises and remove all of its improvements on receipt of 30 days written notice from the University. In 1968 or 1969, the University
informally requested the L.C.R.A. to terminate its use of the 4.4 acre
tract for storage, and at the present time practically all of the L.C.R.A.
equipment has been removed although the cyclone fence and some of the
structures are still in place. No notice of termination has been given to
the Optimist Club and the Little League baseball park is still in full
operation.

On June 1, 1956, the Board of Regents adopted the following policies
with respect to the Brackenridge Tract:

1. Frequent lease proposals and inquiries are received, mostly
relating to property at or near the intersection of Lake Austin
Boulevard and Exposition Boulevard, but until further notice, the
Board will not consider any lease proposal for a term longer than
10 years plus two consecutive 5-year renewal options.

2. With respect to the 75 lots rented for residence purposes on
that portion of the Brackenridge Tract situated between Lake
Austin Boulevard and the Colorado River and lying south of Tom
Miller Dam, "it is not thought that the total rental of $3,600
annually is commensurate with the (attendant) problems of sanita-
tion, fire hazard, and management." Therefore, the 75 leases
are to be renewed only until August 31, 1957, at which time all
will be terminated with no further renewal and with all improve-
ments removed. Legal proceedings will be initiated where
necessary to accomplish the purpose.

3. Due to the trust nature of the Brackenridge Tract, the areas
used for student housing and Main University purposes will be
under the administrative supervision of the U. T. Austin Business
Officer (now the Vice-President for Business Affairs) and the
rest of the Tract will be under the administrative supervision of the
Endowment Officer (now the Associate Deputy Chancellor for
Investments, Trusts and Lands).

On December 2, 1961, the Board of Regents acted on two requests of
the City of Austin. First, in connection with the City's desire to dredge
the bed of the Colorado River from the Town Lake dam to the low water
bridge on Red Bud Trail, for both aesthetic and flood control reasons the
City wished to widen and deepen the river channel in the area of the
Brackenridge Tract to an average width of 500 feet and an average depth
of 17 feet. In order to accomplish that, approximately 4,600 linear feet
of the east bank of the river in the Brackenridge Tract area would have
to be dredged out or cut back to a depth of 17 feet, or to rock or clay, ranging from 0 feet to 130 feet from water's edge. Most of the ground to be removed was low river bottom. The University was to be paid ten cents per yard royalty on all material removed, or, in lieu of royalty, the University might be able to arrange for the stockpiling of some of the better dirt for its own use. As outlined above, the Board approved the City's first recommendation, but it has not been determined as to how much of that dredging work has thus far been accomplished.

Second, the City recommended that the Board of Regents give the City, without cost to the City, a right-of-way easement with which to extend Stratford Drive from Rollingwood to Red Bud Trail over and across the portion of the Brackenridge Tract lying west of the Colorado River. The City was not financially able to construct the roadway immediately, but needed the right-of-way immediately in order to lay a new 24-inch water line to replace the water line that crossed the Colorado near Deep Eddy but that would have to be removed to permit the above described dredging of the river bed. Since the City was not prepared to build the roadway immediately, the Board agreed to give the City a 15-foot wide easement for the water line, provided that the City pay the University for the easement on the same basis it paid other landowners, and provided that the City agree to relocate or modify the water line later if the Board later decided that the original location interfered with the future development of the property.

Finally, on December 2, 1961, as it had so often done since 1910, the Board asked for a staff study of the golf course lease, the relations between the City and the Board with respect to the Brackenridge Tract, and the possible future use of the Brackenridge Tract.

Apparently, the City took no action with respect to the installation of the above mentioned water line in 1961 or 1962, because on June 16, 1966,
the Board of Regents was again requested by the City to grant the street and water line easements originally requested in 1961. The Board deferred action on the request until the engineering firm of Bryant-Curlington, Inc., of Austin, could study the request to see if the granting of the request would unduly interfere with the future subdividing and development of the land on the west side of the river. Upon receipt of the engineer's report, the Board of Regents on January 24, 1968, granted the City street and water line easements in the route recommended by the engineers, with which to extend Stratford Drive from Rollingwood to Red Bud Trail, and that public street and water line have since been installed. On April 29, 1972, the Board of Regents gave the City permission to install an electric power line in the Stratford Drive easement, provided that the City would abandon and remove its existing line crossing the middle of the tract to the west (for which no easement existed), provided that if Stratford Drive is ever relocated, the utility lines will be relocated to conform thereto, and provided that the City would be asked to install the electric power lines underground. The electric power line has been installed, but not underground.

On June 29, 1962, the Departments of Botany, Biology and Microbiology at U. T. Austin asked the Board of Regents to set aside for 10 years approximately 80 acres of the Brackenridge Tract lying between Lake Austin Boulevard and the Colorado River and between the Brackenridge Apartments and the site of the Colorado Apartments, for use as a Biological Sciences Experimental Field Laboratory to supplement teaching and research in those several departments. It was represented that the National Science Foundation would furnish all of the cost of the facilities (i.e., buildings, tanks, wells, fences, etc.), which cost was estimated at $456,000. The Regents approved the project subject to
N. S. F. funding. On September 27, 1963, it was reported to the Regents that the N. S. F. grant had been approved but in the reduced amount of $258,000 and that it was contingent upon the University providing $92,000 for buildings and upon the period of dedication of the 80 acres for laboratory purposes being increased from 10 to 20 years. By eliminating Microbiology and reducing the programs for the other departments, the project was brought within the reduced funding, and the Regents approved the modified project. Contracts were let for the security fencing on April 25, 1964, and for the balance of the project on October 7, 1965. The project has now been in operation for several years.

During 1961 and 1962 it was realized by the Board of Regents and the University administration that there would be a tremendous increase in the number of students that would have to be accommodated at U. T. Austin during the latter half of the 1960s, because the students were already enrolled in the first 12 grades of public school where their numbers could actually be counted. It was also realized that the private colleges could not accommodate any significant increase and that because U. T. Austin had the benefit of 2/3's of the Permanent University Fund, it had an obligation to take care of more than its share of the high school graduates who would apply for admission to the state colleges and universities. As it turned out, the enrollment at U. T. Austin grew from 24,001 students in 1964 to 39,089 in 1970, a 63% increase in 6 years. (That increase alone is twice as many students as Yale University has enrolled after 250 years.)

With this problem of unprecedented enrollment growth in mind, Dr. L. D. Haskew, then a Vice Chancellor of the University, was asked to utilize all available resources in considering the increased land and physical plant needs that would be required and to suggest possible solutions. Specifically, he was asked to consider the use, if any, that could be made of the Brackenridge Tract in reaching a solution.
After Dr. Haskew's findings and conclusions were received and considered by the Board of Regents, and after the then Chancellor H. H. Ransom's views were solicited and received, it was concluded, among other things, that because of the considerable distance and the lack of rapid access between the "forty-acres" campus and the Brackenridge Tract, it would not be feasible to erect on the Brackenridge Tract any academic buildings that would be regularly used by sizeable numbers of students and faculty. The idea of putting "resident colleges" on the Brackenridge Tract was rejected both because of academic considerations and because of the unreasonable cost of duplicating support facilities and physical plant facilities. Therefore, it was concluded that the best way to use the Brackenridge Tract to "advance and promote University education" would be to use that Tract for low-cost student housing, for athletic and recreational grounds, for research, support, and non-academic facilities that would not be used regularly for academic purposes by sizeable numbers of students and faculty, and for such other Main University activities as would develop in time. However, as a result of that review it was discovered that because of long-term leases to third parties, many of the most desirable portions of the Brackenridge Tract would be unavailable for University use for many years. It was also discovered that because of title questions raised by the existence of the reversionary interest in favor of the free public schools in Jackson County and by use of the term "permanent university fund" in the Brackenridge deed, it would be virtually impossible to issue bonds with which to build low-cost student housing and other needed physical facilities on the Brackenridge Tract. Therefore, while some steps were taken toward a solution of the problem prior to that time, the meeting of the Board of Regents on December 1, 1963, was a truly momentous meeting with respect to the Brackenridge Tract because
At that meeting the Regents formally concluded that since Colonel Brackenridge's dream of moving the main campus to the Brackenridge Tract could not be achieved, the best way "to advance and promote education for the benefit of The University of Texas" was to eliminate the reversionary interest held by the public free schools of Jackson County, to eliminate the contention that the Brackenridge Tract was part of the Permanent University Fund, and to establish fee simple title to the Tract in the Board of Regents so that the Board could freely manage it in the best interest of the University, and the Board of Regents did so resolve. It was also informally agreed that all necessary steps should be taken to cancel all leases to third parties and thereby restore day-to-day control of all of the Brackenridge Tract to the Board of Regents.

At that meeting the Regents also resolved that "the Brackenridge Tract be reserved and set apart for University needs in its building program and for projects connected with the University, and that said tract be used exclusively for the orderly development of The University of Texas (at Austin)."

To assist it in dealing with the legal problems involved, the Board of Regents, in January of 1963, employed the Austin law firm of Clark, Thomas, Harris, Denius and Winters. An extensive and thorough legal memorandum filed by that firm with the Board of Regents in September of 1963 formed the basis of the aforesaid actions taken by the Board on December 1 of that year.

The most immediate Brackenridge Tract problem then facing the Board of Regents was the issuance of a $1,800,000 bond issue, with the proceeds of which it was intended to finance the construction of 200 units
of low-cost married student housing (now referred to as the "Colorado Apartments") on the land lying between Lake Austin Boulevard and the Colorado River just west of Deep Eddy (HHFA Project  CH-TEX-121(D) Brackenridge Tract).

To eliminate the legal problems that were impeding the issuance of the revenue bonds, the Texas Attorney General, Waggoner Carr, and the University's special attorneys, Clark, Thomas, Harris, Denius and Winters, filed Cause No. 136, 137, in the 126th District Court of Travis County, Texas, styled "The Board of Regents of The University of Texas vs. All Persons Interested In Or Affected By The Issuance of The Securities Described in Plaintiff's Original Petition." On April 30, 1964, a judgment was entered in that case declaring, in pertinent part, that "the Brackenridge Tract is not, and never has been, and was never intended to be, a part of the Permanent University Fund," and that the Board of Regents had authority to issue the $1,800,000 Student Housing Revenue Bond issue. That cleared the way for the sale of the bonds, and the first of the 3 goals established by the Regents on December 1, 1963, was accomplished - there was a final judicial determination that the Brackenridge Tract was not a part of the Permanent University Fund.

Parenthetically, it is interesting to note that the minutes of the Regents' meeting of May 23, 1964, reflect that the Regents "voted to place on the agenda of the June meeting an item calling for a discussion of the subject of the development of the entire Brackenridge Tract. Regent (Ruth Carter) Johnson is working on a master plan."
When the $1,800,000 Student Housing Revenue Bond Issue was sold, the bonds contained a covenant that read, in part, as follows:

"It is additionally covenanted and agreed by the Board of Regents, on its own behalf, and behalf of the University, and the State of Texas, that, while any Bonds or Additional Bonds are outstanding, no part of the Brackenridge Tract will ever be sold, conveyed, or disposed of in any manner so as to cause or permit any remainder or other interest in title to the Brackenridge Tract to vest in or accrue to Jackson County, Texas, or to revert to any other entity."

In the recent past, some people have been misled by that covenant, because subsequent events soon made it moot and of no effect whatever.

In 1965, at the behest of the University, the 59th Texas Legislature passed Senate Bill 428 (Chapter 330, page 691, Acts 59th Legislature, Regular Session) which provides in pertinent part as follows:

"The Board of Regents of The University of Texas is hereby authorized to acquire by purchase or otherwise, at private or public sale, any and all of the interests held beneficially or any other interest, executory or otherwise, owned, held, or claimed other than by the Board of Regents of The University of Texas, in and to those certain tracts or parcels of land situated in Travis County, Texas, described (as the Brackenridge Tract). The title to the said interests in the land acquired hereunder shall be owned in the name of the Board of Regents of The University of Texas, and all the right, title and interest in and to the said (Brackenridge Tract) is hereby vested in fee simple in the Board of Regents of The University of Texas .... The Board of Regents of The University of Texas is hereby invested with the power of eminent domain to acquire for the use of The University of Texas any and all of the above-described interests in and to the 'Brackenridge Tract' in Austin, Texas.... The Act became effective on June 9, 1965.

On October 1, 1966, the Board of Regents reflect that "Negotiations between Regent W. H. Bauer (representing the Board of Regents) and the County Commissioners of Jackson County, Texas, have resulted in a proposed agreement whereby the Commissioners' Court of Jackson County will convey its executory interest for a total consideration of $50,000. In order to expedite the conveyance, the following actions were unanimously ordered:

1. The sum of $50,000 be appropriated from the University Available Fund Unappropriated Balance for the purchase of the executory interest of Jackson County, Texas.
2. As soon as a deed has been obtained and placed of record the Attorney General of Texas be requested to institute appropriate legal action to obtain a judicial determination that fee simple title is vested in the Board of Regents of The University of Texas subject to outstanding leases and easements."

A deed conveying the executory interest of Jackson County to the Board of Regents was executed by the Jackson County Commissioners' Court on December 27, 1966. Thereafter, on October 11, 1967, in Cause No. 159, 947, in the 126th District Court of Travis County, Texas, styled "Board of Regents of The University of Texas vs. County Commissioners of Jackson County, Texas, et al" a Judgment was entered declaring:

"(1) That the Board of Regents holds fee simple title to the Brackenridge Tract subject to any and all leases, easements and rights of way of record, and

(2) That the contingent future interest held by Jackson County for the public free schools of the county is vested in the Board of Regents."

Thus, the second and third goals established by the Regents on December 1, 1963, were accomplished - the outstanding contingent interest was eliminated and fee simple title to the Brackenridge Tract was vested in the Board of Regents. The fourth and final goal will be accomplished when all of the present leases to third parties have been terminated and day-to-day control of all of the Brackenridge Tract has been restored to the Board of Regents.

Thereafter, in 1967 the 60th Texas Legislature passed Senate Bill 211 (Chapter 155, page 332, Acts 60th Legislature, Regular Session) which provides in pertinent part as follows:

"The Board of Regents of The University of Texas is hereby authorized to sell any part or all of that certain property owned and held by the Board of Regents of The University of Texas located in Travis County, Texas, and more particularly described (as the Brackenridge Tract). All sales shall be made at public auction or by sealed bids and under such rules and regulations governing such sales as may be promulgated by the said Board, with the right of the Board to reject any and all bids. The Board of Regents is also authorized to lease said land upon such terms and conditions as it deems warranted. Any and all proceeds received from the sale of the 'Brackenridge
'Tract'...shall be used and the same applied to the purchase of lands acquired for campus expansion near or adjacent to The University of Texas campus at Austin." The Act became effective on May 12, 1967.

Therefore, since May 12, 1967, subject to the other provisions of the Act, the Board of Regents has been authorized to sell or lease all or any part of the Brackenridge Tract without risk of endangering its title either to the property so sold or leased or to the remainder of the Tract, but thus far none of the Brackenridge Tract has ever been sold, and no recommendation for any such sale has ever been before the Board of Regents.

On October 23, 1964, the Board of Regents instructed the U. T. Administration to make a survey of land adjacent to or near the main campus with a view toward asking the Legislature for authority to acquire it as expansion land for that campus. This was the logical course to follow in view of the expected dramatic increase in enrollment and in view of the Board's conclusion that academic facilities requiring day-to-day use by sizeable numbers of faculty and students could not feasibly be placed on either the Brackenridge Tract or the Balcones Research site.

Thanks to the invaluable assistance of President Lyndon B. Johnson, U. T. Austin's expansion needs were met by its participation in the University East and Brackenridge Urban Renewal Programs, but without that massive Federal financial assistance, no such expansion program would have been within the financial ability of the University. Thus, the third major segment of the Main University campus was added. (The first was the original forty-acre tract that was made available by the State in 1881. The second was the 135-acre addition provided by the Legislature in 1921 after it refused to move the main campus to the Brackenridge Tract.)

In 1967 the 60th Texas Legislature enacted House Bill 267 (Chapter 73, page 140, Acts 60th Legislature, 1967, Regular Session) which became effective on April 21, 1967, and which, with minor exceptions, (including the exclusion of the University Methodist Church, the All Saints Episcopal Church, and the Canterbury Student Center), authorized
the Board of Regents to acquire all of the property within the following boundaries:

Beginning at the northeast corner of the intersection of Guadalupe and 21st streets; north with the east line of Guadalupe Street to the south line of 27th Street; east with the south line of 27th Street to the west line of San Jacinto Boulevard; south-east with the west line of San Jacinto Boulevard to the south line of 26th Street; east with the south line of 26th Street to the west line of Swisher Street; south with the west line of Swisher Street to the south line of Manor Road; east with the south line of Manor Road to the west line of Comal Street; south with the west line of Comal Street to the north line of 19th Street; west with the north line of 19th Street to the west line of I.H. 35; south with the west line of I.H. 35 to the north line of 15th Street; west with the north line of 15th Street to the east line of Trinity Street; north with the east line of Trinity Street to the north line of 19th Street; west with the north line of 19th Street to the east line of the alley between University Avenue and Wichita Street; north with the east line of said alley to the north line of 21st Street; west with the north line of 21st Street to the place of beginning.

With the exception of the acquisition of the Lemuel Scarbrough property at the corner of Whittis and 27th Streets, the Board of Regents either has acquired or is in the final stages of acquiring all of the land included in the above described boundaries authorized by the Legislature. This expansion program has added about 152 acres of land to the Main Campus in the past 6 years, leaving the Main Campus with a total area of approximately 398.58 acres.

On May 21, 1965, the Board of Regents authorized the construction on the Brackenridge Tract, between the Biological Sciences Experimental Field Laboratory and the Brackenridge Apartments, a warehouse for the U. T. Austin Housing and Food Service, a storage and shop building for the married student housing on the Brackenridge Tract, and a fenced area for outside storage and a motor pool. This facility has been erected and has been in service for a number of years.
On July 16, 1965, the Board of Regents instructed its Consulting Architects to study the feasibility of moving some or all of certain intercollegiate athletic facilities (i.e., Memorial Stadium, Penick Courts, Clark Field, and Freshman Field) from the main campus to either the Brackenridge Tract or the Balcones Research Center. As the then Chairman of the Board pointed out, the increasing enrollment placed an even greater premium on sites for academic buildings that were within a 10-minutes walking distance of the Main Building, because that is the time interval within which the students must move from class to class. The intercollegiate athletic facilities mentioned above occupied about 32 acres of land that are within 10-minutes walking distance of the Main Building. However, the Consulting Architects concluded that it was not economically feasible to move Memorial Stadium, that Freshman Field was needed in its present location to serve as a practice field for intercollegiate football and baseball and as a recreational area for the surrounding dormitories, and that Penick Courts did not occupy enough ground to justify their removal. It was also recommended that the intercollegiate baseball field not be moved by itself away from the main campus area, so it was decided that the baseball field would be moved to the urban renewal area east of I.H. 35 on the northwest corner of the intersection of 19th and Comal Streets in order to make room for the construction of the Fine Arts Complex on the present Clark Field.

On April 19, 1968, the Board of Regents employed Metcalfe Engineering Company of Austin to survey the entire Brackenridge Tract, to mark adequately all boundaries, and to furnish complete maps of the property. That surveying work was completed in December, 1968, and in June, 1969, Metcalfe furnished the maps and a detailed list of the boundary conflicts,
encroachments, easement and right-of-way discrepancies, and other problems revealed by the survey. All of the boundary conflicts have been resolved since that time.

On May 2, 1969, the Austin Independent School District notified the Board of Regents of its interest in acquiring about 40 acres of the Brackenridge Tract as a site for a new high school in West Austin. Just prior to that, the Lower Colorado River Authority notified the Board of Regents of its desire to acquire the 1.28 acre tract lying between Lake Austin Boulevard and Lake Austin on the east and west and between the Boat Town lease and the present L.C.R.A. headquarters on the north and south. (This is the same land that was in the City's lease and easement that were terminated by the Board of Regents 90 days after August 7, 1972.) The L.C.R.A. needs the land for expansion of its headquarters building and, in exchange for the Brackenridge Tract, desires to give the University some land on Lake Travis which the L.C.R.A. owns and which the University has been using for years, at no cost to the University, as a field laboratory for sonar research, plus some surrounding L.C.R.A. land that could be used for recreational purposes by U. T. Austin students, faculty, and staff.

Therefore, on May 2, 1969, the Board of Regents appointed a Special Committee, consisting of Regents Peace (Chairman), Ikard, Josey, Garrett and Williams, to study and recommend proposed uses of the Brackenridge Tract, with special recommendations concerning the above mentioned requests of the Austin Independent School District and the L.C.R.A. On March 6, 1970, upon recommendation of the Special Committee, the Board of Regents employed Marvin Springer and Associates, of Dallas, to make evaluations and studies concerning the range of uses
and development potential of the Brackenridge Tract. A written report was made by Springer more than a year ago, but, upon instructions of the Chairman of the Special Committee, copies of the report were not delivered to the Regents, the report has never been considered by the Regents, and the Special Committee (3 of whose 5 members are no longer on the Board of Regents) never made a report. The Austin Independent School District has since acquired another site for the high school, but the L.C.R.A. is still actively desirous of completing the exchange of properties described above.

It was not originally intended that this review of the history of the Brackenridge Tract would be either this detailed or this lengthy. However, no document could be found wherein all of the significant actions of the Board of Regents with respect to the Brackenridge Tract had been reported or catalogued. It is hoped that this review will be of assistance in the future management of that valuable endowment.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank C. Erwin, Jr.
## Leases of Brackenridge Tract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenant</th>
<th>Description of Property</th>
<th>Date of Lease</th>
<th>Current Lease Term Expiration Date</th>
<th>Remaining Extension Rights</th>
<th>Annual Rental Income FY 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7-Eleven, Inc.</td>
<td>2624 Lake Austin Blvd., .558 AC, G.W. Spear League #7, Recorded Plan R2056-8/77</td>
<td>4/26/83</td>
<td>6/30/2013</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$31,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>2901 Enfield Rd., 141.38 AC, D.J. Gilbert Survey #8</td>
<td>10/1/87, amended 5/25/89</td>
<td>5/24/2019</td>
<td>Three 5-year extensions, unless cancelled by landlord or tenant</td>
<td>$345,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVS Pharmacy, Inc.</td>
<td>2610 Lake Austin Blvd., 1.936 AC, G.W. Spear League #7, Recorded Plan R2056-8/77</td>
<td>11/10/95</td>
<td>5/31/2026</td>
<td>Two 5-year extensions at tenant's option</td>
<td>(Initial term of lease fully prepaid in 1995: $600,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidi's German Bakery, Pastry Shop, Etc., Inc.</td>
<td>2504 Lake Austin Blvd., .154 AC, G.W. Spear League, 64 x 109, Block 7</td>
<td>7/1/91</td>
<td>6/30/2011</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$27,946.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Colorado River Authority</td>
<td>Corner of Lake Austin Blvd. and Enfield Rd., 13.208 AC, D.J. Gilbert Survey #8 S/D recorded in Vol. 137, Pg. 377</td>
<td>10/29/90, amended 11/5/92 and 11/1/93</td>
<td>4/1/2051</td>
<td>One 3 to 6 year extension, at tenant's option and subject to long term expansion plans of landlord</td>
<td>(Lease fully prepaid in 1991: $3,586,529, plus lease to UT of 49.5 acres on Lake Travis for research purposes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyster Boat Town Landing, Ltd.</td>
<td>3825 Lake Austin Blvd., 2.582 AC, D. J. Gilbert Survey #8</td>
<td>4/10/92, amended 10/20/92, 12/17/92, 11/1/93, 7/1/95, and 7/1/03</td>
<td>12/17/2022</td>
<td>One 10-year extension at tenant's option</td>
<td>$345,890.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safeway, Inc.</td>
<td>715 Exposition (Randall's) Safeway Addition #10, Plat 75, Page 16, G.W. Spear League #7, Recorded Plan R2056-8/77, 2.64 AC</td>
<td>3/28/77</td>
<td>4/30/2016</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$200,989.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Austin Youth Association</td>
<td>1314 Exposition 14.56 AC, D.J. Gilbert Survey #8</td>
<td>1/1/88, amended 4/23/90</td>
<td>5/24/2019</td>
<td>Three 5-year extensions, unless cancelled by landlord or tenant</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prepared by: The University of Texas System Real Estate Office
Date: 10/1/2007
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Individuals addressing the task force at meetings

Yongqiang An  
Mary Arnold  
West Austin resident

Sharon Avital

Aaron Barker

Alicia Barker

Pamela Bona

Louis Bratton

Harold Brumley

Erin Burton

Rebecca Catellanos

Chia Chi Chiang

Lauren Colangelo  
Undergraduate Student,  
College of Natural Sciences

Betty Dunkerley  
Mayor Pro Tem, Austin City Council

Sarah Dwelly

Anne Wynne Ellis

Maria Esteva  
Graduate Student, Resident of Student Housing

Lynda Faught

Amy Forestell  
President, Graduate Student Assembly

Toby Futrell  
Austin City Manager

Dan Garrison  
Founder,  
Town Lake Trail Foundation Board of Directors

Larry Gilbert  
Professor, Integrative Biology,  
Director, Brackenridge Field Laboratory,  
College of Natural Sciences

Ana Gonzalez

Juan C. Gonzalez  
Vice President for Student Affairs, U. T. Austin

August Harris  
West Austin Neighborhood Group  
President-elect

Shannon Hedtke  
Graduate Student, College of Natural Sciences

David Hillis

Cliff Holubec

Courtney Houston  
Executive Director, WAYA

Randy Howry  
President, WAYA

James Hudson

Bob Jansen  
Chair, Section of Integrative Biology

Gwen Jewiss  
President, West Austin Neighborhood Group

William Johnson

Sammie Joseph  
Chair Emeritus, WAYA

Amanda Kenney  
Graduate Student, College of Natural Sciences

Amy Kinkade  
Mathews Elementary Principal

Kelly Kocurek  
President-elect, WAYA

Kwangin Lee

Sarah Madera  
West Austin resident

General Marshall  
Golf Coach at Huston-Tillitson College

John Matthews  
Graduate Student, College of Natural Sciences

Marie Medina  
Undergraduate Student, College of Natural Sciences

Lloyd Morrison  
Head Golf Pro at Lions Municipal Golf Course

Assem Nasr

Carlos Oliveira

David Perry  
co-author, The University as Urban Developer

Randall Porter  
Associate Director, Division of Housing and Food Service, U. T. Austin

William C. Powers, Jr.  
President, U. T. Austin

Mary Ann Rankin  
Dean of Natural Sciences, U. T. Austin

Peter Raven  
President, Missouri Botanical Gardens

Gualda Ricardo

Alfred W. Roark  
Centennial Professor in Natural Sciences,  
Integrative Biology, College of Natural Sciences

Michael Roemer

Daniel Ryan  
Chair, Tenant Advisory Board

Mike Ryan  
Clark Hubbs Regents Professor in Zoology,  
Integrative Biology, College of Natural Sciences

Kurt Sauer

Molly Scarborough  
West Austin resident

Craig Spots  
Austin Golf Association Board of Directors

Chuck Stokes

Warren Struss  
City of Austin Director of Parks and Recreation Department

Okhtay Taghizadeh

Dan Tecuci

Ed Theriot  
Director, Texas Natural Science Center, U. T. Austin

Simran Tiwana

Blake Tollet  
West Austin resident

Marcus Torres

Erin Watson

Wim Wiewel  
co-author, The University as Urban Developer

James Wilson  
Campus Director of Real Estate, U. T. Austin

Delano Womack

Hyrum Wright