## Annual Review Committee Feedback Form (Member)

Committee Member's Information to be completed by committee member					
Name					
(printed)	Date Completed				
Signature					

Please rank the student's work as presented in the Annual Review Form and the Executive Summary as "Exceeds Expectations," "Meets Expectations," or "Needs Improvement."

It is expected that the majority of students will present work that meets expectations. These criteria are purposefully left vague to be inclusive of the diversity of research and projects within Texas CHE. The student and advisor will create a progress plan based on your feedback.

Components of the PhD Program	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement
Shows mastery of the field			
Designs original research within project parameters			
Contributes to the field through presentations and publications			
Collects sufficient and meaningful results towards dissertation project			
Interprets research results in a significant manner			

Include any comments you may have about the student's progress.

If you ranked the student as "needs" improvement," explain how they could improve, how you would measure improvement, and the timeline in which you expect improvement to occur. *To be completed by committee member* 

Which type of meeting would you like to have with the student to discuss their annual review?

- Individual meetings are ~15 minutes in length. Group meetings are ~45 minutes in length.
- If a student is ranked as "needs improvement," it is suggested that the committee hold a group meeting to discuss the proposed action plan.

To be completed by committee member						
Individual meeting		Group meeting				

